SFERA-III

Solar Facilities for the European Research Area

Ist Summer School "Thermal energy storage systems, solar fields and new cycles for future CSP plants" WPI Capacity building and training activities Odeillo, France, September 9th-11th 2019



Solar Facilities for the European Research Area

"Thermochemical thermal energy storage: challenges and issues" Sylvie Rougé(CEA) ' sylvie.rouge@cea.fr

NETWORKING



THIS PROJECT HAS RECEIVED FUNDING FROM THE EUROPEAN UNION'S HORIZON 2020 RESEARCH AND INNOVATION PROGRAMME UNDER GRANT AGREEMENT NO 823802





- Thermochemical heat storage fundamentals
- TCS reaction selection
- Solid / gas reactor selection
- Solid material ad-equation to the reactor and process
- Reactor modelling and upscaling: 2 application cases
- Conclusion











TCS FUNDAMENTALS (2)

Fundamentals:

Charge: endothermic

Discharge: exothermic

 $C + heat \rightarrow A + B$

 $A+B \rightarrow C + heat$

Advantages

- Long-term storage: chemical potential intact
- High storage volume density.

Required properties

- 100% reversible reaction
- Stable for hundreds of thermal cycles
- Products A and B must be easily separated for storage
- Products A, B and C are easy to store
- Products are cheap and non hazardous







- Organic reactions are rarely suitable (side reactions -> no 100% reversibility)
- Most of inorganic reactions are solid / gas reactions
 - Advantage:
 - ✓ Easy to separate the solid from the gas
 - Drawback :
 - ✓ heterogeneous gas/solid reaction -> risk of mass transfer limitations
 - Divided solid has a low heat transfer conductivity -> risk of heat transfer limitation
 - ✓ gas /solid reactor , solid handling → technology is more challenging
 - ✓ How to store a gas at low energetic cost?



Main questions to address:

liten

(22 tech

- How to choose a suitable chemical reaction?
 - Temperature criteria
 - ✓ Reversibility criteria
 - ✓ Storage density criteria
- How to choose the reactor technology?
 - ✓ Heat and mass transfers: intrinsic # apparent kinetics
 - ✓ Integration in the whole process?
 - ✓ Can I discharge heat at the same temperature than charge?
 - ✓ Can I recover sensible heat at maximal temperature?
 - ✓ Do I need 2 reactors for charge and discharge
 - ✓ How can I upscale the reactors efficiently?
- Is the solid material perfectly adapted to the process and the reactor ?
 - ✓ Some examples of improvements







Solar Facilities for the European Research Area



TCS REACTION SELECTION:

TEMPERATURE CRITERIA REVERSIBILITY AND CYCLABILITY STORAGE DENSITY



Liten
CeatechREACTION SELECTION – TEMPERATURE
CRITERIA (2)



A solid / gas reaction is generally monovariant, the equilibrium curve is derived from the Van't Hoff equation at the minimal free Gibbs energy (= no reaction):

$$\checkmark ln(P_{g,eq}) = -\frac{DH^o}{R.T_{eq}} + \frac{DS^o}{R}$$

As a consequence, the reaction conversion yield is 0% or 100% and the enthalpy of reaction is independent of the charge level



Liten
CeltechREACTION SELECTION – TEMPERATURE
CRITERIA (3)



The tuning temperature T* (for P=1) cited in reviews is not always the one you need for your process!



REACTION SELECTION – TEMPERATURE CRITERIA (4)

liten Ceatech

If you operate at constant partial pressure (case of O₂/air, steam/air...), it is not possible to have the same charging and discharging temperature at large scale:





REACTION SELECTION – REVERSIBILITY CRITERIA (1)

The reaction must be reversible 100% under hundreds of thermal cycles

CaO/Ca(OH)₂ : 300 cycles under synthetic air



liten Ceatech





CoO / Co_3O_4 : 30 cycles



Liten
CEALECTION SELECTION – REVERSIBILITY
CRITERIA (2)



- Main risks
 - Discharge can be at a much lower temperature than charge
 - ✓ Case of MgO / Mg(OH)₂ (300 / 100°C), Mn_2O_3/Mn_3O_4 (1000 / 690-750°C)
 - Charge rate can decrease after a few thermal cycles
 - Reaction sites become ineffective: CaO/CaCO₃, CuO/Cu₂O (shrinkage and sintering)
 - Side reactions coming from ppm in gas, for instance : CaO/Ca(OH)₂ \rightarrow CaCO₃ or BaO₂/BaO \rightarrow BaCO₃





$CaO/Ca(OH)_2$: 50 cycles under air and N_2

REACTION SELECTION – REVERSIBILITY CRITERIA (3)



Mn_2O_3/Mn_3O_4 :

liten Ceatech

- ~1000°C for reduction
- narrow range of temperature 690-750°C for oxidation





REACTION SELECTION – REVERSIBILITY CRITERIA (4)

liten Ceatech



An example of final selection for Red-Ox reactions:

	Table 2.1		
Metal Oxide Systems Applicable to	TES Based on	Thermodynamics	Considerations

		Reaction		Temperature (°C)	ΔH (kJ/mole oxide)	Storage Density (kJ/kg)
Cr ₅ O ₁₂	\rightarrow	$2.5 Cr_2 O_3$	+ 2.25O ₂	110	126.0	279
$2Li_2O_2$	\rightarrow	$2Li_2O$	+ O ₂	150	68.2	1483
$2Mg_2O$	\rightarrow	2MgO	+ O ₂	205	21.8	505
$2PbO_2$	\rightarrow	2PbO	$+ O_2$	405	62.8	262
$2PtO_2$	\rightarrow	2PtO	+ O ₂	420	62.8	277
$2Sb_2O_5$	\rightarrow	$2Sb_2O_4$	+ O ₂	515	92.5	286
4MnO ₂	\rightarrow	$2Mn_2O_3$	+ O ₂	530	41.8	481
6UO ₃	\rightarrow	$6U_3O_8$	+ O ₂	670	35.2	123
2BaO ₂	\rightarrow	2BaO	$+ 0_{2}$	885	72.5	474
$2Co_3O_4$	\rightarrow	6CoO	+ O ₂	890	202.5	844
Rh ₂ O ₂	\rightarrow	Rh ₂ O	$+ 0_{2}$	970	249.2	981
6Mn ₂ O ₃	\rightarrow	$4Mn_3O_4$	+ O ₂	1000	31.9	202
4CuO	\rightarrow	2Cu ₂ O	+ O ₂	1120	64.5	811
6Fe ₂ O ₃	\rightarrow	$4Fe_3O_4$	+ O ₂	1400	79.2	496
$2V_2O_5$	\rightarrow	$2V_2O_4$	$+ O_2$	1560	180.7	993
$2Mn_3O_4$	\rightarrow	6MnO	$+ O_2$	1700	194.6	850

Liten REACTION SELECTION – STORAGE DENSITY CRITERIA (1) CRITERIA (1)



Volumetric energy density (kWh/m3) of some chemical reactions, calculated on the solid material base:



S. Rougé (CEA) SFERA-III Summer School "Thermal energy storage systems, solar fields and new cycles for future CSP plants" 9-11 Sep 2019 | 17

REACTION SELECTION – STORAGE DENSITY CRITERIA (2)

- The storage density should be estimated at process level and should integrate sensible heat aspects
 - Sensible heat stored in solids can be :

liten Ceatech

- An advantage :addition of sensible and chemical storage and increase of the final storage density
- ✓ A drawback :waste heat for solid pre-heating
- Gas storage can be a main issue and a large energy sink
- A first integration of heat and mass flows on a simplified scheme of the process allows to evaluate the recovered heat efficiency: <u>Eend-user</u> <u>Echarge+Eparasitics</u>, this value can be far from 100%
- This preliminary step allows to evaluate the approximate nett volumetric storage density







REACTION SELECTION – STORAGE DENSITY CRITERIA (4)

liten Ceatech



Ammonia dissociation: main sinks are pre-heating and compression



REACTION SELECTION – STORAGE DENSITY CRITERIA (4)

Feltr Facilities for the Engages Research Area

CaO/ Ca(OH)2: main sinks are solid preheating and steam vaporisation

liten Ceatech

Efficiency: ~60%





REACTION SELECTION – STORAGE DENSITY CRITERIA (5)

liten Ceatech







liten

(22 tech



- To select a suitable thermochemical reaction for heat storage
 - Identify the range of parameters (Pg, T) on the reel process
 - If the data are scarce, check the equilibrium curve
 - The temperatures of charge and discharge may be different from the tuning temperature, careful of the realistic range of partial pressure of reactant gas! Large scale reactors are not dilute systems such as thermal balances!
 - Assess the reversibility and cyclability of the reaction at particle scale
 - Perform a simplified mass and heat balance of the whole storage process to evaluate its potential interest and efficiency
 - Deduce a realistic nett volumetric storage density. It will be far away from the wonderful performances you can read in the literature, but that's life...





Solar Facilities for the European Research Area



SOLID/GAS REACTOR SELECTION

TYPES INTEGRATION IN PROCESS

S. Rougé (CEA) SFERA-III Summer School "Thermal energy storage systems, solar fields and new cycles for future CSP plants" 9-11 Sep 2019 | 24

SOLID/GAS REACTOR SELECTION – TYPES (1)

- Main issues for heterogeneous gas / solid reactions
 - Heat transfer
 - Mass transfer
 - Up-scale

liten

(22 tech

Existing technologies:







SOLID/GAS REACTOR SELECTION – TYPES (2)



3 methods for heat and mass transfer

Direct:

Reactant gas part of heat transfer fluid



- Simple
- High heat transfer (large surface)
- Low flexibility
- Upscale medium

Ref: Fixed beds

Indirect:

Reactant gas and heat transfer fluid are different



- More complex
- Indirect heat transfer: risk of low transfer
- High flexibility
- Upscale high

Ref: Fluidised beds



Direct sun:

Reactant gas No heat transfer fluid, direct irradiation



- Very complex (specific to CSP)
- High flexibility
- Upscale low to medium



SOLID/GAS REACTOR SELECTION – TYPES (3)



Fixed beds are at the same time reactors and storage silos, all the other types need to transport the solid (batch or continuous)



- High storage density (1 silo) but chemical conversion <100% (process temperature requirement)
- Simple technology: no solid movement

liten

Ceatech

- Heat transfer very low: direct→ solid/HTF/reactant compatibility; or sun → low up-scale
- Low HTF velocity → large surface, fluid distribution issue
- DP: low thickness → several beds → HTF collectors complex; or structured bed → cost?
- Larger inertia if intermittent use



- Chemical reaction can be 100% but 2 silos (storage density lower)
- Solid transport and feeding are complex, especially when hot
- The complex and expensive part is limited to a small reactor
- Any kind of heating: direct, indirect, sun
- Any kind of HTF if indirect: no chemical issue gas/HTF or solid/HTF
- Charging and discharging reactors can be different and optimised





SOLID/GAS REACTOR SELECTION – TYPES (5)



Indirect reactors examples





Solar particle receivers







The charge reactor may be different from the discharge reactor: it is /process / solar HTF / power block HTF/ dependent:

Example with one single reactor for charge and discharge:



Packed or structured bed



SOLID/GAS REACTOR SELECTION – INTEGRATION IN PROCESS (2)





Example with two reactors for charge and discharge:



Solar particle receiver

SOLID/GAS REACTOR SELECTION – CONCLUSION

There is no ideal reactor: there is a best compromise selection that must take into account

- ✓ Material properties,
- Reaction kinetics,
- ✓ Heat transfer fluid,
- ✓ Reactant gas,

✓ …

liten

(22 tech

Industrial scale target: 1MWh, 10 MWh, 100 MWh or 1000 MWh

- ✓ Process integration,
- The best technology is not the simpliest one!!! Look for industrial technologies, industrials look for the best techno-economic compromises. There are many BFB and CFBs in the range 50-500MW and no fixed beds for instance...







Solar Facilities for the European Research Area



SOLID MATERIAL AD-EQUATION TO THE REACTOR TYPE AND PROCESS





Solid material can:

liten

(22 tech

- ✓ Change volume through reaction (swelling)
- ✓ Form a crust after chemical cycles (hydration in fixed bed)
- ✓ Change mechanical strength through thermal of chemical cycles (break)
- ✓ Sinter, agglomerate (operation T too close from softening T)
- ✓ Suffer attrition (case of FB but also pneumatic transport)
- ✓ Have a poor flowability / pourability (case of mobile beds)
- ✓ Fluidise with difficulty (see Geldart classification)
- Have chemical properties that are not optimal : for instance T discharge too low compared to T charge

✓ ...etc

The material can be improved to fit better to the reactor / process specifications (careful of the final cost!)



liten Ceatech

SOLID MATERIAL AD-EQUATION (2)



Some exemples

- Lime nano-coating (SaltX process) \rightarrow improve pourability and fluidisation
- Lime-clay composite \rightarrow improve mecanical strength
- Cobalt oxyde shaping \rightarrow improve heat transfer and pressure drop
- Mn_2O_3 doping with Fe oxide: (Fe/Mn 1:3 mol) → Red: 988°C & Ox 895°C
- Very important to collaborate between material & reactors specialists for TCS storage



Fresh (800µm-2mm)





After 20 cycles Hy/Dehy





Fraction

Temp.

---- DSC

25 Time / min







100.00

99.75

99.50

99.25

99.00

98.75

98.50

98.25

98.00

97.75

97.50

97.25

97.00

96.75

96.50

10



Solar Facilities for the European Research Area



REACTOR MODELLING AND UP SCALING

CASE OF BFB AND CAO/CA(OH)2

CASE OF PACKED BED AND SORPTION

REACTOR MODELLING AND UP SCALING – CAO/CA $(OH)_2$ (1)



- Why modelling is so important for TCS reactors?
 - Comprehension of experimental data at pilot scale is complex
 - Transposition from pilot to industrial scale is not straight
 - Need of upscaling tool

liten ceatech





REACTOR MODELLING AND UP SCALING – $CAO/CA(OH)_2$ (2)



0

S. Rougé (CEA)

10

Time (s)

liten Ceatech

- The reaction is fast and allows to select a FB reactor
 - General industrial criteria for FB: 500 to 1000 kW/m² \rightarrow low parasitics
 - The intrinsic kinetics of the reaction has a standard form:

$$\checkmark \frac{dX}{dt} = k_0 * exp\left(\frac{-Ea}{R*T}\right) * \left(P_v - P_{v,eq}\right)^n * (1 - X)^m$$

60

10

0

20

30

Time (s)

40



....

60

50



REACTOR MODELLING AND UP SCALING – CAO/CA(OH) $_2$ (3)



- At pilot scale, the reactor is a perfectly mixed reactor:
 - Same temperatures everywhere in the bed
 - Variation of gas pressure along the height of the bed \rightarrow conversion varies
 - Far away from the minimum velocity of fluidisation, 2 phases of bubble and emulsion → mass transfer limitations between the gas phases are possible



liten Ceatech

CEA batch and continuous BFB reactors Experimental tests in batch and continuous BFB validate this limitation when kinetics is fast -> Xfactor ~5





REACTOR MODELLING AND UP SCALING – CAO/CA $(OH)_2$ (4)

liten Ceatech



- At industrial scale the objective is to recover both sensible heat and chemical heat
 - Sensible heat can cover 20 to 30% of the stored energy



REACTOR MODELLING AND UP SCALING – CAO/CA(OH) $_2$ (5)

The industrial concept is:

liten Ceatech

- A tube-calander heat exchanger BFB reactor with indirect exchange between the tubes (HTF) and the calander (gas-solid emulsion)
- The emulsion is flowing from one end to the other
- The model is simplified :
 - 1D model along the length of reactor
 - Pure steam (no vertical profile in each section)
 - Perfect mixing in each section in the tube bundle (CFD validation, many data)
 - Plug-flow of emulsion along the length









REACTOR MODELLING AND UP SCALING – CAO/CA(OH) $_2$ (6)



Example for hydration reactor:

• 210 MWth

liten Ceatech

- HTF: HP water 110 bar, 200→550°C
- Inlet solid: Pure CaO, 600→250°C Result:
- 187 kg/s CaO + inert (25%)
- 100% conversion at the end
- Length: 194 m
- Section: 1,15 x 1,20 (700 tubes)



Example for dehydration reactor:

- 50 MWth
- HTF: air 20 bar, 800→400°C
- Inlet solid: Pure Ca(OH)₂, 200→780°C
 Result:
- 29 kg/s Ca(OH)₂ + inert (25%)
- 100% conversion at the end
- Length: 20 m
- Section: 1,8 x 2 m (945 tubes)





2nd case : sorption for cold storage

liten Ceatech

- Adsorption is exothermic and desorption is endothermic
- Sorption is a surface reaction. The gas molecule must leave the surface site to have it active again → the sorption kinetics is very fast but controlled by the diffusion inside the sorbant grains (Knudsen and Surface diffusion) which is slow
- The reaction is bi-variant : 3 parameters are relied: partial pressure of gas, temperature and level of sorbant charge X (kg reactant/kg sorbant)
- The adsorption and desorption are not complete
- The enthalpy of sorption is close to the enthalpy of vaporisation for water sorption → steam must be 'free' for steam sorption (atmospheric moisture)
- The enthalpy of sorption varies with the level of charge of the solid sorbant

REACTOR MODELLING AND UP SCALING – SORPTION (2)





liten Ceatech

RD silica gel properties







REACTOR MODELLING AND UP SCALING – SORPTION (3)

What is the use for CSP plants?

NIGHT

liten Ceatech

- Thermodynamic power plants waste 1 to 4 m³/MWh of water, 90% for the condenser cooling.
- A solution for arid regions is air cooling.
- Steam Turbine Output decreases fast when ambient air is hotter than 15°C whereas Ambiant air can reach 40-45°C during hot periods in arid regions
- The idea is to store cold and moisture of ambiant air during nights (adsorption) and cool hot air during days (desorption)







S. Rougé (CEA) SFERA-III Summer School "Thermal energy storage systems, solar fields and new cycles for future CSP plants" 9-11 Sep 2019 45

DAY

REACTOR MODELLING AND UP SCALING – SORPTION (4)



- Sorption selection: desorption $<50^{\circ}C \rightarrow RD$ silica gel or zeolite Z01, 02 or 05
- Low DP + sensible storage : sensible material (lass beads at pilot scale)
- Reactor: slow kinetics + ambiant air → packed bed of sorbant and sensible material
- Model of packed bed: 6 phases, 4 temperatures

liten Ceatech



REACTOR MODELLING AND UP SCALING – SORPTION (5)



Exp results of a sorption at Pv/P=0,007, Tad=20°C Tdes=40°C



liten Ceatech



Air temperature at the inlet and outlet of the module





Solar Facilities for the European Research Area



CONCLUSION



- Developing a TCS concept for CSP is a challenging and iterative process that must identify and characterise:
 - A chemical reaction
 - The range of realistic operations
 - The integration in the whole CSP process, the links with solar HTF, power block HTF, solid storage, gas storage, minimize heat wastes....
 - One or 2 reactors technologies
 - The ad-equation of the solid properties with the whole process
 - A model coupling chemical reaction, heat and mass transfers and hydraulics: very needed for understanding and upscaling
 - Evaluate the storage process at economic and environmental level: what is the final advantage?

This is very important to have close relationship between material, reactors and process experts.





- When applying TCS to a process, never forget the main advantages:
 - Long-term chemical storage (a few weeks to a few month)
 - Sensible heat stored longer in a solid (low conductivity, no convection)
 - Sensible heat temperature can be high (~800°C for lime, >1000°C for RedOx)
 - Heat easy to transport = transport of a solid → many transverse applications are possible (ex: industry → District heating)
 - Higher storage density \rightarrow useful when space is limited

Thank you for your attention!